

Notice of Application With Optional DNS Certification of Public Notice

CITY OF REDMOND DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that to the best of my knowledge a Notice of Application with Optional DNS for <u>Terrance @ 132^{nd} Ave NE</u> File number: <u>LAND 2014-02307</u> was sent to the Applicant and to the attached mailing list by first class mail, and electronically mailed to the attached SEPA Agency List on or before <u>June 9, 2015</u>

Name

Date

Gloria Meerscheidt June 9, 2015

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING

I, the undersigned, certify that on June 9, 2015 I posted copies of the attached Notice of Application with Optional DNS at:

- 1 Location(s) on or near the site
- 1 City Hall
- 1 Library

Name	Sarah Vanags	
Date	June 9, 2015	



AEROJET GENERAL CORPORATION 11411 139TH PL NE REDMOND, WA 98052

CHRISTENSEN ALLEN B+BENTE P 10846 132ND AVE NE REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13322 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13328 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13412 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 11200 132ND AVE NE REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 11004 132ND AVE NE REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13416 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13422 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13406 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052 BADER JANELL F 13313 NE 112TH PL REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13318 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13324 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13330 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 11000 132ND AVE NE REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 11016 132ND AVE NE REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13244 NE 108TH ST REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13418 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13424 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13404 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052 Attachment 10 BARTA FLORENCE A 11000 132ND NE REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13320 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13326 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13306 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 10824 132ND AVE NE REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 11026 132ND AVE NE REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13414 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13420 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13408 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13438 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052 Current Resident 13436 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13428 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13308 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13314 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13720 NE 112TH WAY REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 10985 137TH PL NE REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13720 NE 110TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

DAILY CONSTRUCTION 13251 NE 110TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

HEISLER BRADLEY+BARBARA 13259 NE 110TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

LONG LARRY BRUCE+SHERYL ANN 10922 132ND AVE NE REDMOND, WA 98052 Current Resident 13432 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13302 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13310 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13316 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13760 NE 112TH WAY REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13770 NE 110TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13750 NE 110TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

DARVEAU RICHARD P & CHRISTY 11020 132ND AVE NE REDMOND, WA 98052

HJORTEN LISA 13420 NE 11TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

MCGRATH KONALA 10830 132ND AVE NE REDMOND, WA 98052 Attachment 10 Current Resident 13430 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13304 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13312 NE 111TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13740 NE 112TH WAY REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 11350 137TH PL NE REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13755 NE 111TH WAY REDMOND, WA 98052

Current Resident 13745 NE 112TH WAY REDMOND, WA 98052

ELLIS JANE C 13234 NE 108TH ST REDMOND, WA 98052

KOTSOGEAN WILLIAM 11002 132ND AVE NE REDMOND, WA 98052

ME BERGSTROM L L C PO BOX 2052 KIRKLAND, WA 98083 NORTON KEITH D+MELISSA M 13241 NE 109TH PL REDMOND, WA 98052

RECTOR BRENT E 13406 NE 108TH ST REDMOND, WA 98052

ROSEHILL DEVELOPMENT LLC 11845 NE 85TH KIRKLAND, WA 98033

TERRANO MARK A 13243 NE 110TH CT REDMOND, WA 98052

YOUNG CARISSE M+KING DAVID 13221 NE 109TH PL REDMOND, WA 98052 OLSON DANA J+SHAWNA G 10528 NE 120TH PL KIRKLAND, WA 98034

ROBERTSON JEANNE L 13314 NE 111TH CT UNIT C-5 REDMOND, WA 98052

SCHNELL BARRY D 13319 NE 112TH PL REDMOND, WA 98052

VOWLES BEVERLEE T PO BOX 346 KIRKLAND, WA 98033 Attachment 10 OROURKE THOMAS J

13305 NE 112TH PL REDMOND, WA 98052

ROSEHILL DEVELOPMENT LLC PO BOX 819 KIRKLAND, WA 98033

SINGH MANJIT+SUCHITRA 1220 BRENTON AVE SAN JOSE, CA 95129

WARD ROY BLAKE 10838 132ND AVE NE REDMOND, WA 98052 Bill and Celinda Kotsogean 11002 132nd AVE NE Redmond, WA 98052

Ann Rutled 13318 NE 111th CT Redmond, WA 98052

Dana Olsen 11026 NE 132nd AVE NE Redmond, WA 98052

Mark S Leen 10900 NE 4th St Suite 1500 Bellevue, WA 98009 Bridget and Mark Terrano 13243 NE 110th CT Redmond, WA 98052

Linda Bagwell 13310 NE 111th CT Redmond, WA 98052

Dana Olsen 10524 NE 120th Pl Kirkland Redmond, WA 98052

Linda Pruitt 8215 41st Avenue NE, Seattle Seatle, WA 98115

Attachment 10

Donna Schneider 13326 NE 11th Ct Redmond, WA 98052

Wilma Manchester 13312 NE 111TH ct Redmond, WA 98052

Rebecca Colemen 13308 NE 111TH CT Redmond, WA 98052

PARTIES OF RECORD

From:	Gloria Meerscheidt
То:	andy.swayne@pse.com; Angie Peace; charlie.sundberg@kingcounty.gov; chelland@bellevuewa.gov; connie.blumen@kingcounty.gov; Dan Sokol; dbeadle@ci.sammamish.wa.us; Elaine Somers; Elizabeth.Elliott@kingcounty.gov; Erika Harris; Fisheries.fileroom@muckleshoot.nsn.us; fmiller@lwsd.org; gary.kriedt@kingcounty.gov; Gretchen.Kaehler@dahp.wa.gov; Jon Regala; Karen.Walter@muckleshoot.nsn.us; Mark.Wilgus@kingcounty.gov; mattb@snoqualmietribe.us; mpaine@bellevuewa.gov; Puget Sound Clean Air Agency; Ramin Pazooki; robert.nunnenkamp@kingcounty.gov; steventer@dnr.wa.gov; sepadesk@dfw.wa.gov; sepaunit@ecy.wa.gov; Steve.Bottheim@kingcounty.gov; Steven Mullen-Moses; tina.morehead@kingcounty.gov; tlavender2@frontier.com; tmcgruder@gmail.com; Tom Himman-citizen
Cc:	Sarah Vanags; Gloria Meerscheidt
Subject:	City of Redmond Notice of Application with Optional DNS Terrence @ 132nd Ave NE
Date:	Tuesday, June 09, 2015 10:20:47 AM
Attachments:	LAND201502307NOAwOptionalDNS.pdf

Gloria Meerscheidt

Administrative Assistant, Development Review City of Redmond – Development Services Center 15670 NE 85th St, MS: 2SPL Redmond, WA 98052 P: 425.556.2407 F: 425.556.2400 www.redmond.gov



DATE: 06/09/2015

SUBJECT:

DEAR CITY OF REDMOND PROPERTY OWNER:

<u>The City of Redmond has received an application to develop land that is within 500' of your property</u>. Since the proposal is close to your property and the proposal might affect you, your property, or your neighborhood, the City is sending you this letter and the enclosed materials for you to review. With this letter, you will find the following:

- 1. A vicinity map showing the proposal's location.
- 2. A public notice describing the proposal, and the methods and deadlines for you to provide comments to City staff.
- 3. A preliminary site layout illustrating the proposal's design.
- 4. A process flow chart illustrating where, when, and how you can submit comments.
- 5. A preliminary tree preservation plan (only if tree removal is proposed).

<u>The City invites you to comment on this proposal</u>. You may submit your written comments to the City (see the enclosed public notice for contact information). Comments may be mailed, e-mailed, or faxed.

Environmental Review: Pursuant to WAC 197-11-355, the Optional DNS process is being used. Under this process, agencies may issue a preliminary Determination of Nonsignificance together with the Notice of Application to provide concurrent comment periods. Therefore this may be your only opportunity to comment on the environmental impacts of the proposed project. A final Determination of Nonsignificance will be sent to parties of record along with the Notice of Decision for the application.

You should feel free to share this with neighbors. Some neighbors may not have received this packet. People not receiving notices are often those neighbors who recently purchased their property or whose property is over 500' from the proposal. Although not officially notified, these neighbors may provide comments also. To receive future notices, they may also request to be a "party of record". To become a party of record an interested person should call, write, or e-mail the contact person, and request to be a party of record.

The City of Redmond looks forward to receiving your comments or answering your questions. Finally, on behalf of the City, I thank you for your interest and participation in your community, the City of Redmond.

Sincerly,

hat & 6000

ROBERT G. ODLE Director of Planning and Community Development



CITY OF REDMOND NOTICE OF APPERENTION WITH OPTIONAL DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE

For more information about this project visit www.redmond.gov/landuseapps

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT NAME:

FILE NUMBER: LAND-2014-02307

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

Subdivide four parcels into 22 lots.

PROJECT LOCATION: 132nd AVE NE and 110th CT

SITE ADDRESS: 11026 132Nd Ave Ne REDMOND, WA 98052

SIZE OF SUBJECT AREA IN ACRES: 6.55

SQ.FT.: 0

APPLICANT: Mike Walsh Kevin Rech PROCESS TYPE: Moiff (See thigh hed flow chart)

A PUBLIC HEARING REQUIRED, HOWEVER A DATE HAS YET TO BE DETERMINED. A PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE WILL BE SENT IN THE FUTURE.

REQUIRED PERMITS:

REQUIRED PERMITS, NOT A PART OF THIS APPLICATION:

REQUIRED STUDIES: Critical Aquifer Recharge Area Report, Geologic Hazard Report, Noise Study, Stormwater Report, Traffic Study, Tree Health Assessment, Wetland Report,

EXISTING ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS, RELEVANT TO THIS APPLICATION:

REGULATORY INFORMATION ZONING: R-4 Residential

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN DESIGNATION: Single-Family ଅତ୍ୟାତ୍ତା SISTENT WITH COMPREHENSIVE PLAN: Yes

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION OF THE DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS THAT WILL BE USED FOR PROJECT MITIGATION AND CONSISTENCY: Redmond Municipal Code, Zoning Code, and Comprehensive Plan.

CITY CONTACT INFORAMTION PROJECT PLANNER NAME: Sarah Vanags PHONE NUMBER: 425-556-2426

EMAIL: svanags@redmond.gov

IMPORTANT DATES

Terrene at 132nd Ave NE Plat (Rose Hill De&PPLICATION & COMPLETENESS DATE: 05/26/2015

NOTICE OF APPLICATION DATE: 06/09/2015

To allow a minimum comment period as specified in the RZC, the City will not issue a decision on this project prior to 06/30/2015. *If date ends on a weekend or holiday comments are due on the next business day*

PUBLIC COMMENT

Although comments are accepted up until CLOSE OF PUBLIC HEARING, submittal of comments early on in the process is encouraged to allow staff and/or applicant to address comments as early in the design process as possible, the technical committee shall not issue a recommendation unti the end of the minimum comment period. In addition, persons who want to be informed of future actions or would like to become a party of record on this proposal must provide their name and mailing address to the project planner. Comments are due by 5:00 PM on the date noted above to the City of Redmond Planning Department, development services center at 15670 NE 85th street, p.o. box 97010, mail stop 2spl, redmond, wa 98073-9710, or fax to 425-556-2400. A final decision regarding the DNS will be included within the Technical Committee recommendation. the final decision can be appealed according to the city appeal provision

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The City has reviewed the proposal and expects to issue a Determination of Non-significance (DNS). The Optional DNS process is being used. This may be your only opportunity to comment on the environmental impacts of the proposal. The City has determined that the requirements for environmental analysis, protection, and mitigation measures have been adequately addressed in the development regulations and comprehensive plan, and other applicable local, state, or federal laws or rules. Our agency will not require any additional mitigation measures under SEPA

RESPONSIBLE OFFICAL: ROBERT G. ODLE

PLANNING DIRECTOR

SIGNATURE:

bert & Oale

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Linda E. De Boldt Public Works Director

SIGNATURE: _______





PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

PROJECT NAME	:	FILE NUMBER:	LAND-2014-02307
CONTACT INF	ORMATION		
NAME:	PHONE:		EMAIL:
ADDRESS:		STATE:	ZIP CODE:
COMMENTS	(ATTACH ADDTIONAL SHEETS IF NECE	SSARY)	
			-


VICINITY MAP

LAND-2014-02307, Terrence at 132nd Ave NE






C222 C 2015 THE BLUELINE GROUP



Process Flow Chart for: Preliminary Plat Applications

Preliminary Plats follow the Type III process which requires a public hearing before the Hearing Examiner. The Hearing Examiner is the final decision maker.





#1- Notice of Application (completed within 14 days of application):

Sent to: Applicant, property owners and residents within 500 feet Posted: On site, City Hall, Library, Internet.

Who May Participate? Any interested party may submit comments prior to or at hearing to establish themselves as Party of Record. You must become a Party of Record to reserve right to request reconsideration or appeal the Hearing Examiner's Decision <u>Although comments are accepted up until close of public hearing</u>, submittal of comments during the 21 day comment period is encouraged to allow staff and/or the applicant to incorporate changes as early in the design process as possible.

#2-Neighborhood Meeting (notice sent 21 days in advance of meeting):

Sent to: Applicant, property owners and residents within 500 feet, as well as Parties of Record. Posted: On site, City Hall, Library

Who May Participate? Any interested party may participate. Those who participate establish themselves as a Party of Record, but meeting attendance is not required to become a Party of Record if comments are submitted prior to or at public hearing.

#3-Notice of Public Hearing (sent 21 days in advance of hearing):

Sent to: Applicant, property owners/residents within 500 feet and any Parties of Record

Posted: On site (large white sign), City Hall, Library, Internet, published in paper.

Who May Participate? Any person may participate. Any person who has already submitted comments up until this point are established as a Party of Record and are considered participants of the hearing. Others who have not submitted comments, must submit comments prior to or at hearing in order to establish themselves as a Party of Record. Only Parties of Record have the right to request reconsideration or appeal the Hearing Examiner's decision

#4-Public Hearing:

Who May Participate? Any person may participate and establish themselves as a Party of Record by submitting written comments prior to hearing, submitting written comments at hearing or making oral comments at hearing. Interested parties must have submitted comments prior to or at hearing in order to establish themselves as a Party of Record and reserve their right to request reconsideration or appeal the Hearing Examiner's decision.

#5-Hearing Examiner Decision is issued:

When: The Decision is issued within 14 days after hearing

Who receives the decision? Applicant and Parties of Record.

Who can request reconsideration or appeal? Parties of record may request reconsideration <u>or appeal</u> within 10 business days What if a Party of Record requests reconsideration? The Hearing Examiner, shall, within 14 days either deny the request, issue a revised decision, or call for an additional public hearing. A final decision on the Preliminary Plat will be sent to all Parties of Record. This final decision on the Plat may be appealed to the City Council.

#6-Notice of City Council Closed Record Appeal Hearing:

Sent to: Applicant and Appellant or their representatives When Mailed: A minimum of 14 days prior to hearing

#7-City Council Closed Record Appeal Hearing:


Who May Participate? The applicant, the appellant, the applicable department director, or representatives of these parties.

#8-City Council Makes Decision on Appeal:

When? The Council typically takes action on the appeal the same night as the closed record appeal hearing. **Can the Council Decision be appealed?** Yes, the decision of the City Council may be appealed to Superior Court after the Notice of Decision has been issued

#9-Notice of Final Decision (typically sent within 14 days of City Council action):

Sent to: Applicant and those who participated in the closed record appeal hearing **Appeal Provision:** The final decision is appealable to King County Superior Court within 21 days from issuance of Notice. To have standing to appeal, one must meet the criteria under the Land Use Petition Act (L.U.P.A.).



					REVISION
Figure 1: Tree In	ventory - Proposed Act Removal	ion & Brief Definitio	on Retained	Total	REV
Landmark	20 = 4.4%	1 = 0.2%	7= 1.5%	28 = 6.1%	
(>30") Significant	234 = 51.1%	4 = 0.9%	192 = 41.9%	430 = 93.9%	
(6"- 30")					Ś
Totals Replacement	254 = 55.5%	5 = 1.1%	199 = 43.4 % 0	458 = 100% 294	\vec{U}
Trees Numbers are gen	nerated based on site co	onditions, proposed of	development, and City r	equirements.	日 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	are to be replaced at a 35-percent retention m		trees at a 3:1 ratio. Eac 1 3:1 ratio.	h significant tree	
Including all of the the site will be ret		CA/open space area,	a total of 43.4 percent	of all of the trees on	
<u>Replacement Tree</u> Healthy Significan		ced at 1:1 = 1 x 234	= 234 replacement tree	s. Landmark trees	の 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	3:1 = 3 x 20 = 60 replac				
TREE REF	PLACEMEN	NT.			Ш Ц
OTAL REPLAC	CEMENT TREES	BREQUIRED =			ス () () () () () () () () () () () () ()
				" TREES = 171 TRE	Ũ
					STATE OF
					STATE OF WASHINGTON REGISTERED
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT
3					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT AYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. <u>634</u>
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT AYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. <u>634</u>
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT AYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. <u>634</u>
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT AYLE L. CRAMER CAYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. 634
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT AYLE L. CRAMER CAYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. 634
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT AYLE L. CRAMER CAYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. 634
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT AYLE L. CRAMER CAYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. 634
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT AYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. <u>634</u>
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT AYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. 634
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT AYLE L. CRAMER CAYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. 634
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT AYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. 634
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT MAYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. 634
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT MAYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. 634
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT MAYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. 634
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT MAYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. 634
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT MAYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. 634
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT MAYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. 634
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT MAYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. 634
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT MAYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. 634
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT MAYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. 634
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT MAYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. 634
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT MAYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. 634 CERTIFICATE NO. 634 CERTIFICATE NO. 634 CERTIFICATE NO. 634 CERTIFICATE NO. 634
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT MAYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. 634 CERTIFICATE NO. 634 CERTIFICATE NO. 634 CERTIFICATE NO. 634 CERTIFICATE NO. 634
					WASHINGTON REGISTERED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT MAYLE L. CRAMER CERTIFICATE NO. 634



CITY OF REDMOND

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST Project Action

Purpose of Checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the City of Redmond identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for Applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply" and indicate the reason why the question "does not apply". It is not adequate to submit responses such as "N/A" or "does not apply"; without providing a reason why the specific section does not relate or cause an impact. Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later. If you need more space to write answers attach them and reference the question number.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the City can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. When you submit this checklist the City may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

	For Agency Use Only
Planner Name	Sarah Janags
Date of Review	6/8/15

'o t	e o	completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only	
	BA	CKGROUND		
	1.	Name of proposed project, if applicable: Terrene at 132nd Ave NE Plat	N	
	2.	Name of applicant: Terrene at RH 132nd, LLC	\sim	
		Address and phone number of applicant and Contact person: Mike Walsh 520 6th Street South Kirkland, WA 98033 425.822.8848	-	
	4.	Date checklist prepared: 12/23/2014, updated 2/26/2015	<i>S</i> /	
	5.	Agency requesting checklist:	\sim	
		City of Redmond		
	6.	 Give an accurate, brief description of the proposal's scope and nature: i. Acreage of the site:		
		 Number of dwelling units/ buildings to be constructed: 22 Square footage of dwelling units/ buildings being added: ~3-4000 	\leq	
		iv. Square footage of pavement being added:		
		v. Use or Principal Activity:		
		vi. Other information:		

To be completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
7. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):	
The applicant will begin construction upon receiving all necessary approvals and permits. Conceptual start date is Spring/Summer of 2016.	W
8. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further	
activity related to or connected with this proposal? Yes 🖌 No If yes, explain	4
9. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal. Survey, prepared by Axis Survey and Mapping. Arborist Report, prepared by Tree Solutions. Critical Area Study, prepared by Wetland Resources. Storm Drainage Report/Road-Utility Plans, prepared by The Blueline Group. Geotech Report, prepared by Earth Solutions NW. Traffic Memo, prepared by TEN W.	\mathcal{A}
10. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? ☐ Yes ☑ No If yes, explain.	S

be completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
11. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.	
City of Redmond - Subdivision Approval, SEPA Approval, Construction Drawing Approval, and Building Permit Approval. DOE-NOI. DFW-HPA (if necessary). DNR-FPA (if necessary).	
12. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.) This proposal is to subdivide four parcels of land into 22 residential lots, 18 detached homes and one-duplex, within the R-1/R-4 zone. All lots will be served by a public road with direct access to 132nd Ave NE. The project will be served by public water and sewer and will include installation of the infrastructure needed to accommodate the site grading, frontage improvements to 132nd Ave NE, installation of utilities and construction of 20 single family residences and one duplex. As part of the project the existing structures will be demolished.	S
 13. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist. The subject site is located within City of Redmond in Section 34, Township 26 N, Range 5 E WM. The project is situated on approximately 6.65 acres and is comprised of four parcel of land, 3426059093/9046/9087/9100 with a physical address of 11016 132nd Ave NE, Redmond, WA 98052. 	Ŵ

То	be completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only	
в.	ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS		
	1. Earth	×	
	a. General description of the site (check one)		
	🗌 Flat	av 1	
	✓ Rolling		
	Hilly		
	✓ Steep slopes		
	Mountainous		
	Other		
	b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)? Describe location and areas of different topography.		
	Generally the site slopes from west to east, the steepest slope onsite if approximately 107% within the steep slope areas outside of the developments clearing limits.	5~	
	c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, mulch)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.		
	According to the Geotechnical Report prepared by Earth Solutions NW the onsite soils consists of topsoil, fill and Vashon subglacial till, see report for additional information.	\sim	

To be com	pleted by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
d.	Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? [] Yes [] No If so, describe.	4
deve in ad 8,100	Describe the purpose, type, location and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill. ing for the application will be limited to those areas identified for lopment of residential lots, roads, storm drainage and utility infrastructure dition to home construction. Approximately, 5,900CY of excavation and D CY of fill is proposed. Source of fill will be located during time of truction.	6
erosi poter	Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe. ed erosion could occur as a result of the initial construction, however on control measures will be utilized during construction phase to minimize tial erosion impacts. Temporary erosion and sedimentation control plans e submitted and approved by City of Redmond.	\sim
g. The s City o	About what percentage of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)? wite will not exceed the maximum impervious surface area as allowed by of Redmond.	Solution of the second
h. A tem City c projec	Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any. nporary erosion and sediment control plan designed in accordance with of Redmond standards will be employed during construction phase of the ct.	5/

be	completed b	Evaluation for Agency Use only	
	i. Does the through	ne landfill or excavation involve over 100 cubic yards out the lifetime of the project?	
	Yes, over 100 C	CY of excavation is planned for the construction of this proposal.	
2.	Air		
	(i.e. du greenhou complete	pes of emissions to the air would result from the proposal ast, automobile, odors, industrial wood smoke, and use gases) during construction and when the project is ed: If any, generally describe and give approximate as if known.	GAL
	emissions into the exposed soils and	nt operation and worker's vehicles would generate exhaust he local air. Construction activity on-site could also stir up nd generate dust in the local air. The completed project would increase in the amount of exhaust related pollutants in the local related traffic.	
	b. Are there your proj	e any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect posal? Yes I No If so, generally describe.	6
	c. Proposed to air, if a	I measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts any:	
	Watering on-site control dust and	as necessary during construction phase of the project will help other particulates.	5
3.	Water		
	a. Surface		
	the site lakes, po location a	iny surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, onds, wetlands)? Yes V No If yes, describe type, and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or pows into. Provide a sketch if not shown on site plans.	L

To be completed by applicant				
Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters: Yes V No If yes, please describe and attach available plans. Note approximate distance between surface waters and any construction, fill, etc				
Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material, if from on site.	6			
Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Yes I No Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.	5			
Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? Yes No If so, note location on the site plan.	S			
	 200 feet) the described waters: Yes No If yes, please describe and attach available plans. Note approximate distance between surface waters and any construction, fill, etc Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material, if from on site. Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Yes No Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? Yes No 			

To be complet	ed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
6.	Does the proposal involve any discharge of waste materials to surface waters? \Box Yes \checkmark No If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.	-w
7.	Is your property located within the Bear/ Evans Creek Watershed (see attached map)? Yes I No. If yes, answer questions 8 & 9; if No, go to the next section.	-SV
Solutions typically s	Provide details on how your propose to maximize infiltration of runoff to recharge associated stream during the summer months. face and Geotech Engineering Assessment, prepared by Earth NW identifies on-site soils as Vashon subglacial till which is not suitable for infiltration (see Section 6 of Storm Drainage Report for a ne full assessment).	\sim
subdivisio	Does your project propose an increase in fecal coliform levels In surface water? If so, describe impacts. form levels are not expected to increase with the proposed 22 lot on. The existing septic systems will be abandon per Health Department in and the new homes will be connected to public sewer.	S.

To be completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
 b. Ground 1. Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be disch ground water? ☐ Yes ✓ No Give general des purpose, and approximate quantities if known. 	
 Describe waste material that will be discharged into the from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for e Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the for chemicals; agricultural; etc.) Describe the general size system, the number of such systems, the number of house served (if applicable), or the number of animals or hum system(s) are expected to serve. No waste materials will be discharged into the ground. There is an exist septic system located on-site that will be abandon per Health Departmet regulations. 	example: bollowing ze of the ses to be nans the
 c. Water Runoff (including storm water): 1. Describe the source(s) of runoff (including storm water method of collection, transport/conveyance, and disposa (include quantities, if known). Where will this water will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe. Runoff will be collected via a tightline conveyance system and directed to on-site detention and water quality facility prior to discharging to the downstream system. Refer to the Storm Drainage Report for additional information. 	l, if any er flow?
 Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? generally describe. In accordance with City of Redmond codes, TESC and BMP measures with implemented to prevent waste materials from entering ground or surface during construction. 	will be

То	be complet	ed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
	d.	Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any:	1
	will be pr	etention and water quality facilities as well as approved TESC BMP's ovided in accordance with City of Redmond codes to reduce and/or noff water impacts. Refer to the Storm Drainage Report for additional on.	5
4.	Plants		
	a.	Check and select types of vegetation found on the site:	
		🖌 Deciduous Tree: 🖌 Alder 📝 Maple 🗌 Aspen 🔲 Other	
		✓ Evergreen Tree: ✓ Cedar ✓ Fir ✓ Pine □ Other	
		✓ Shrubs	
		✓ Grass	C /
		Pasture	\mathcal{W}
		Crop or Grain	
		Wet soil plants: Cattail Duttercup Dullrush	
		Skunk cabbage D Other	
		☐ Water plants: ☐ Water lily ☐ Eelgrass ☐ Milfoil ☐ Other	
		Other types of vegetation (please list)	
	b.	What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?	
	withing th protected	ate the site grade appropriate for the proposed buildings, all vegetation e building pad and roadways will be removed with the exception of areas associated with the trees that are required to be retained in ce with Redmond code.	-0/

be completed by applicant					Evaluation for Agency Use only	
с.	Provide the r	number of	significant a	nd landmark	trees located on	
	the site and e	estimate th	e number pr	oposed to be	removed	
	and saved in	the table l	below:			
	Tree Type	Total (#)	Removed (#)	Saved (#)	Percentage saved (%)	
	Landmark (>30" dbh*)	28	20	7	25%	\leq
	Significant (6" – 30" dbh*)	430	234	192	44%	
	Percentage (%)	100%	55.5%	43.4%	69%	
d.	<i>change during t</i> . * <i>DBH – Diamete</i> List threatene the site.	r at breast he	right		o be on or near	
There ar near the		or endang	ered species	that have beer	observed on or	
e.	Proposed land preserve or en	lscaping, i hance veg	use of native getation on th	plants, or othe site, if any	her measures to	
Landscar developm	Proposed land preserve or em bing will be retain nent of new sing such features a	hance veg ned within le family re	getation on th the steep slop sidences will	ne site, if any: ne area. The u provide new la	: Itimate Indscaping	8

To be o	complet	Evaluation for Agency Use only	
5.	An	imals	
	a.	Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site Birds: Hawk Heron Eagle Songbirds Other Mammals: Deer Bear Elk Beaver Other Fish: Bass Salmon Trout Herring Shellfish Other	-57
	b. There ar	List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site re no threatened or endangered species that have been observed on or	\sim 1
	near the	site. Is the site part of a migration route: ☐ Yes ✔ No If so, explain?	
		Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any: p slope area will be left undisturbed and will act as a wildlife ment area.	51
6.	Ener a.	rgy and Natural Resources What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs: Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.	S
	Electrical homes.	and/or natural gas will be used to meet the energy needs of the new	

To be completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
 b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? ☐ Yes ✓ No If so, generally describe. 	A
c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:	5
The buildings will be constructed to meet or exceed applicable local, state and/or federal building code to ensure compliance with energy conservation standards.	
7 Environmental Health	
 a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk or fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? ☐ Yes ✓ No. If so, describe. 	S/
5. 5.	
1. Describe special emergency services that might be required.	
The construction of 22 dwelling units may increase the the need for emergency services. Necessary impact fees are in place with City of Redmond to address the increased need of these services.	5
2. Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:	5
State regulations regarding safety and the handling of hazardous material would be enforced during construction process.	

To be completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
b. Noise	
1. What types of noise exist in the are project (for example: traffic equipment	
The dominant source of noise would be from traffic a	long 132nd Ave NE.
2. What types and levels of noise wassociated with the project on a short- (for example: traffic, construction, op what hours noise would come from the	-term or a long-term basis peration, other)? Indicate
Construction activities on-site would temporarily incr levels. All construction will follow City of Redmond a operation. The complete project would result in sligh	approved hours of
3. Proposed measures to reduce or contro	ol noise impacts, if any:
Construction activity would be limited to hours as sp which will mitigate the impact of potential construction	
c. Describe the potential use of the follow	ving:
1. Elammable liquids	
2. Combustible liquids	
3. 🗌 Flammable gases	
4. Combustible or flammable fibers	
5. Flammable solids	
6. Unstable materials	
7. Corrosives	
8. Oxidizing materials	
9. Organic peroxides	
10. I Nitromethane	
11. Ammonium nitrate	
12. Highly toxic material	

To be c	ompleted by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
	13. Deisonous gas	
	14. Smokeless powder	
	15. Black sporting powder	
	16. Ammunition	
	17. Explosives	
	18. Cryogenics	
	19. Medical gas	
	20. Radioactive material	
	21. Biological material	
	22. High piled storage (over 12' in most cases)	
	Fuels associated with automobiles and construction machinery as well as typical household products (cleaners, adhesives, etc) may be present at the site. Natural gas may be utilized to fuel household appliances.	-
8.	Land and Shoreline Use	
	a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?	51
	The site currently has four dwelling units. Adjacent properties are developed with single family residential homes.	
	 b. Has the site been used for agriculture? ☐ Yes ✓ No If so, describe. 	S
	c. Describe any structures on the site. The site currently has four dwelling units.	61

To be comple	ted by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only		
d. Yes, all	d. Will any structures be demolished? ✓ Yes □ No If so, what? Yes, all dwelling units will be demolished.			
e.	What is the current zoning classification of the site? R-4 - Low Moderate Density Residential zone Other	S		
f.	What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site? Single-Family Urban	V		
g.	Other If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site? Not Applicable	Z		
h. There is	Other Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? Yes No If so, specify. (If unsure check with City) Steep Slope and Erosion Hazard areas on-site.	S		
i. Assumin homes, i	Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project. In a paperoximately 2.5 people would live in each of the new single family it is estimated that a 55 people would reside in the completed project.	N		
j.	Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?			

To be comple	To be completed by applicant				
The co	The completed project would displaced approximately 10 people.				
k.	Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:				
The pro demolis	pposal includes the construction of 22 new dwelling units and will the four dwelling units.	S			
1.	Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:				
codes to	ect will be developed in accordance with applicable City of Redmond o ensure the project is consistent with the goals and policies of the hensive Plan in place at the time of this application.	Y			
m.	What percentage of the building will be used for:	м — р.			
	Warehousing				
	Manufacturing	0			
Σ.	Office	9			
	Retail				
	Service (specify)				
	Other (specify)				
	✓ Residential				
n.	What is the proposed I.B.C. construction type?				
Typical w	ood framed structures.	SV			
0.	How many square feet are proposed (gross square footage including all floors, mezzanines, etc.)				
To be det square fo	termined during the building permit process. Note that proposed otages will be in compliance with City of Redmond standards.	2			
p.	How many square feet are available for future expansion (gross square footage including floors, mezzanines and additions).				

ro be	completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
	Project is anticipated to be built out in one phase. Expansion is not anticipated.	V
9.	 Housing a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing. 20 market rate and 2 affordable homes will be provided 	9
	 b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing. Four dwelling units will be demolished. 	Y
	 c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any: None at this time. 	\checkmark
10.	 b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed? No view in the immediate vicinity would be altered. The street scape from both 	Will meet all Redmand als Design 3 Zoning Cocle Regulations
	streets will change with the new development.	8

o be coi	mplet	ted by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
	c.	Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:	1
Th reg	ne site p gulatior	blan has been developed to be consistent with the development ns for an R-1/R-4 zoning district and the subdivision regulations.	Z
11.	Lig	ht and Glare	
	a.	What type of light or glare will the proposal produce: What time of day or night would it mainly occur:	57
Th as:	ne comp sociate	pleted project will generate limited light and glare as typically is with residential development.	\rightarrow
	b.	Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views:	N
Ur fin	nder no nished p	rmal circumstances it is not anticipated that light or glare from the project will present a safety hazard or block views.	
	c.	What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?	<i>c</i> /
Nc	one kno	own.	Z
No	d. one.	Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:	S
110		s	
12.	Reci	reation	
	a.	What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?	

To be completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only	
Mark Twain Park is located approximately 1200' from the subject site.	Z	
 b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? ☐ Yes ✓ No If so, describe. 	5/	
c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:	Cy.	
The project would be subject to City of Redmond's open space requirements.		
13. Historic and Cultural Preservation		
a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.	A	
No.		
b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.	a1	
There are no landmarks or evidence of any significant historical, archaeological, scientific or cultural resources known to be on or next to the site.	Υ	
c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:		
If any cultural evidence was encountered during construction or installation of improvements, work would be halted in the area and a state approved archaeologist/historian would be engaged to investigate, evaluate and/or move or curate such resource as appropriate.	N	

			Evaluation for Agency Use only	
14.	Transp	ortation		
	de	entify public streets and highways service the site, and escribe proposed access to the existing street system. Show a site plans, if any.	W	
F	Primary acce	ess to the development will be from 132nd Ave NE.		
	b. Is wh	site currently served by public transit? Yes No If not, nat is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop.	Ŵ	
	c. Ho Ho	ow many parking spaces would the completed project have? ow many would the project eliminate?		
	4 parking sp exceed the r	aces will be eliminated. Provided parking spaces will meet or minimum required per City of Redmond standards.	N	
	imı driv	Il the proposal require any new roads or streets, or provements to existing roads or streets, not including veways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public private).		
Т	he proposal	will install a new public road with cul-de-sac.	qv	
	be go If k \overline{the}	w many weekday vehicular trips (one way) per day would generated by the completed project? <u>207</u> nown, indicate when peak volumes would occur a.m. &p.m. How many of these trips occur in a.m. peak hours? <u>22</u> How many of these trips occur he p.m. peak hours? <u>21</u>	9V	
s ii	See Prelimin nformation.	ary Traffic Information and Trip Generation Estimate for more		

To be c	Evaluation for Agency Use only	
	 f. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any. The applicant will comply with City of Redmond's Transportation Code and pay any required impact fees. 	Ą
15.	Public Services a. Would the project result in an increased need for public	
	services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? \checkmark Yes \square No. If so, generally describe.	61
	There will be a small increase in need for public services due to the new 22 dwelling units.	
	b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.	\sim
	There will be a small increase in need for school enrollment, police and fire as well as emergency medical with the addition of 22 dwelling units.	
16.	Utilities	
	a. Select utilities currently available at the site:	
	✓ Electricity	
	✓ Natural gas	\sim 1
	✓ Water	6
	✓ Refuse service	
	✓ Telephone	
	Sanitary Sewer	
	✓ Septic System	

To be completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
✓ Other	
Cable	
 b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed. The existing septic system will be abandoned in accordance with applicable standards. The proposed single family residences will be served by public sewer and water (City of Redmond) natural gas and electricity (Puget Sound Energy) and communication facilities (phone, cable TV) based on availability in the area. 	V

C. <u>SIGNATURE</u>

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: MAN	-
Date Submitted: 2/26/15	-
Relationship of signer to project:	•